

# MARDS Erasmus+ Vienna Training June 25th - 28th 2019 Questionnaire


15 responses

1 General data




## Country of origin

15 responses



## Institution


15 responses



## 2. Training contents


### The overall training met my interests

15 responses




### I received new and relevant information for my work.

15 responses




### I feel reliably and objectively informed about the training topics and goals.

15 responses




Individually arranged meetings with cooperating partners at various faculties in different universities in Vienna were successful

15 responses




The training improved my knowledge in implementation / management of doctoral studies.

15 responses




The training provided relevant background information about doctoral studies from EU countries.

15 responses




The training offered contributions/results that I would consider innovative.

15 responses




I will work with/apply/integrate the training outcomes in(to) my work.

15 responses




I will inform my colleagues about the training and its content.

15 responses




The training programme met my needs for information.

15 responses



The training format (duration, size, group of participants, etc.) met my needs.

15 responses




Lecturers explained training topics clearly

14 responses



### Lecturers encouraged participation and answered questions fully and clearly

15 responses



### 3. Training event organization and management


#### Information (about travel, accommodation etc.) received before the training from host partner, responding in time

15 responses




### General organization during the training

15 responses



### Domestic arrangements (accommodation, transport, meals etc.)

15 responses




### The information (on tasks, materials for the training etc.) received




### before the meeting from the coordinator

15 responses




The coordinator facilitates communication and collaboration between partners. Everyone was encouraged to contribute to training discussion.

15 responses



The training has been prepared and managed in the most (resource) efficient way in order to make best use of the available time


15 responses



#### 4. Quality of Project partnership


### Communication amongst partners was effective

15 responses



### Development of teamwork and positive attitudes was evident

15 responses



## 5. General impression

### Please write at least two strengths (positive aspects) of conducted training workshop

11 responses

developed a concrete and not theoretical work, the seminar highlighted and acknowledged the difficulties faced today by a successful doctorate

1. Introduction to the program, presentation of the "Gouvernance of the University of Vienna". 2. "Reality Check"

A very useful training

I had a clear picture of the way Ph.D Studies are organized in the University of Vienna and will transmit at the best this management way to the responsible, decision making persons in my university.

A very well organized training

Training organisation, presentations of administrative staff of doctoral study program

Meeting people dealing with similar issues, discussing about PhD educational system in the countries involved in the training

Very good communication and interaction with the trainees and the participants

1- the opportunity to learn new things from experience of partners  
2- finding solution through constructive debate/consultations

Interactive discussions, the successful experience of the University of Vienna in the organization of doctoral studies

Trainers very good motivated.

### Please write at least two weaknesses of this training workshop

9 responses

NA

-the training should be attended by students who are in the PhD process from all participating universities to talk about the difficulties they face,  
compiling a concrete doctorate curriculum,

1. Not all partners were present in the training, 2. Not everyone were equally involved in the training

No comment

More focused presentations and discussions about specific topic/s

There was no weak point

food, the difficulty of finding the training site

Very hot days.

## What should be taken into account for the next training / suggestions?

9 responses

NA

-to achieve a positive result, the participating team should not change from training to training,  
-Kamila Borsekova, from MatejBel University, Banska should be present at every training

More specific training.

Training should focus on curricula preparation of doctoral study program.

More administrative staff to be involved into trainings and meeting people from the same work environment from other universities

Training materials should be delivered in electronic form before the meeting or, it should be printed, and delivered to participant on each meeting

Colleagues participating in the first training should continue to receive further training on other issues of doctoral studies organization

Training not so often.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#)

Google Forms

